

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,914	12/22/2000	Antonius Henricus Maria Raaijmakers	PHN 17,819	2618
24737	7590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROF	CHUNG, DAVID Y		
P.O. BOX 300)1			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2871	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/745,914	RAAIJMAKERS ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendme	is application. A proper replent which places the application.	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT he date on which the petition un d of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory period ffice later than three months aft	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate amount of the fee. The appropriate or the for reply originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		en considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-6.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. Other:		TARIFUR R. CHOV	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment specifies that the top contact of the photosensitive element is made so that light can enter through the ITO layer. This raises new issues requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.